

SLINGSBY, FRYTON AND SOUTH HOLME PARISH SURVEY 2022

RESULTS PRESENTATION: 30TH JANUARY 2023

BY: VICTORIA WELLS

OVERVIEW

1. The survey
2. Households
3. Village amenities
4. Retail facilities
5. Communication
6. Dog fouling
7. Speeding
8. Developments and Planning
9. VDS, community spirit and the Parish Council

THE SURVEY

- Impossible to cover everything in a short presentation – currently report is over 50 pages with over 70 tables.
- Last survey 2009 (results published 2010).
- 2022 survey – data collected September/October 2022.
- 129 households responded (compared to 214 in 2009) – a significant sample for the population.
- Covers the opinions of 257 residents.
- 69 responses on paper, 60 online (the older the resident the more likely they were to complete the survey on paper).



Village	Number of Households (2009)	Percentage of Households (2009)	Number of Households (2022)	Percentage of Households (2022)
Slingsby	191	89%	93	85%
Fryton	14	7%	9	8%
South Holme	9	4%	7	7%

	Fryton	Slingsby	South Holme
Male	8	89	10
Female	13	104	7
Prefer not to say	0	1	0

Age	Fryton 2009	Fryton 2020	Slingsby 2009	Slingsby 2020	South Holme 2009	South Holme 2020
Under 5	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	13 (33%)	7 (4%)	2 (9%)	1 (11%)
5-11/6-11	5 (13%)	0 (0%)	22 (6%)	9 (5%)	1 (4%)	2 (22%)
12-18	6 (32%)	2 (11%)	34 (9%)	13 (7%)	1 (4%)	3 (33%)
19-30	5 (13%)	1 (<1%)	29 (7%)	7 (4%)	3 (13%)	0 (0%)
31-65/31-70	16 (42%)	15 (79%)	172 (44%)	117 (61%)	10 (43%)	1 (11%)
Over 65/71 & over	5 (13%)	1 (5%)	118 (30%)	40 (21%)	6 (26%)	2 (22%)

- Parish Residents generally older (31+).
- Spread of ages similar to the 2021 census data for the area- although a little older than Ryedale overall).
- A general ageing in the parish overall in the last 10 years.

- 38 one person households, 52 two people households, 23 three people households, 13 four people households, 4 five person households.
- 104 households are adult only (all members of the household 18+).
- 48 households did not commute (many because they did not work).
- Car was the most common method to commute. Most common commuting distance was 6-10 miles (shorter than in 2009).
- 39 households highlighted some form of home working (Full or Part time).
- 80% of respondent households mortgaged/owned, 19% rented and 1% were a holiday/second home.



	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Do you use the village hall?	14 (11%)	35 (28%)	54 (44%)	16 (13%)	4 (3%)



Village Hall – for social events/meetings

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Do you use the sports club?	49 (41%)	23 (19%)	23 (19%)	20 (17%)	5 (4%)



Sports Club – for general socializing, meeting friends. Suggested improvement – improved toilet/changing facilities

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Do you use the Sports Field?	27 (22%)	27 (22%)	51 (22%)	13 (11%)	3 (2%)



Sports field/playground – to take visiting grandchildren, car boot, annual events e.g. duck race. Suggested improvement - wider variety of playground equipment

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Do you use the playground?	64 (53%)	15 (13%)	24 (20%)	16 (13%)	1 (1%)

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Do you use the mobile post office service?	28 (15%)	14 (11%)	41 (33%)	29 (23%)	12 (10%)

➔ Convenience, saves a journey to Malton: a "Community life line" and that it is "....a valued asset and needs to be supported."

	Yes	No	No opinion
Would you like a permanent shop in Slingsby?	115 (93%)	2 (2%)	6 (5%)

- For essential groceries and household good, bakery, dairy, newspapers, delivery hub (click and collect)
- "Hovingham have a perfect village shop, stocking exactly what local people need. Mirror that."
- Café/tea rooms.
- Central location with adequate parking



Information Source	Number of Respondents
Word of Mouth	93
The Triangle	111
Slingsby Website	70
Sports Club	26
Sports Club Facebook	19
Local Paper	13
Parish Notice Boards	45
The Grapes	16
School	6
@slingsbyvillage Social Media	19

"We very much value the excellent Triangle newsletter and regularly updated website, FB pages and noticeboards (general and club)!"

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	n/a
My broadband is reliable	6 (5%)	14 (12%)	11 (10%)	60 (53%)	22 (19%)	0 (0%)
My broadband is an acceptable speed	4 (5%)	22 (20%)	17 (15%)	53 (47%)	16 (14%)	0 (0%)
I have good mobile phone reception	59 (53%)	34 (30%)	9 (8%)	11 (10%)	1 (1%)	7 (6%)
I have good TV reception	5 (4%)	17 (14%)	13 (11%)	52 (43%)	23 (19%)	10 (8%)
I have good access to TV channels	4 (3%)	11 (9%)	17 (14%)	55 (46%)	25 (21%)	7 (6%)

"The move of landlines to the web means there is no emergency phone if there is a disruption to electricity supply making the internet go down."

Dog fouling is a problem in the Parish						
Household Location	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	n/a
Fryton	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	4 (44%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)
Slingsby	2 (2%)	5 (6%)	19 (22%)	43 (49%)	18 (21%)	0 (0%)
South Holme	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)

Dog fouling is a problem in the Parish						
Dog Owner	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	n/a
No	0 (0%)	3 (4%)	14 (19%)	37 (49%)	21 (28%)	0 (0%)
Yes	2 (5%)	7 (18%)	10 (26%)	15 (38%)	5 (13%)	0 (0%)



"There should be a safe off lead area for locals with dogs, a gated area with dog poop bins, signage. There is nothing for dogs in Slingsby. Aware other towns, villages are more dog friendly and have more facilities for dogs e.g. safe gated off leash areas just for dogs."

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	n/a
Flooding is a problem in the parish	0 (0%)	11 (10%)	19 (17%)	47 (41%)	37 (32%)	0 (0%)
I would welcome a 20mph trial limit	20 (16%)	19 (15%)	18 (14%)	30 (23%)	41 (32%)	0 (0%)
The drainage/sewerage system works well in the parish	42 (36%)	33 (28%)	12 (10%)	14 (12%)	6 (5%)	10 (9%)
Surface drainage is a problem in the parish	3 (3%)	11 (9%)	17 (15%)	42 (36%)	43 (37%)	0 (0%)
I am in support of the Hovingham to Malton "A path for everyone" proposal	2 (2%)	7 (6%)	27 (24%)	33 (29%)	45 (39%)	0 (0%)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	n/a
Speeding is a problem on the B1257 (Malton to Hovingham road)]	4 (3%)	10 (8%)	22 (18%)	42 (34%)	43 (36%)	0 (0%)
Speeding is a problem in other parts of the parish]	3 (3%)	10 (8%)	36 (30%)	39 (33%)	32 (27%)	0 (0%)
On street parking is a problem in the parish]	4 (3%)	14 (12%)	32 (27%)	34 (29%)	34 (29%)	0 (0%)
I would welcome traffic calming measures in the parish	17 (14%)	24 (20%)	16 (13%)	29 (24%)	33 (28%)	0 (0%)
I would like to see flashing 'slow down' signs in the village	13 (11%)	17 (15%)	14 (12%)	35 (30%)	37 (32%)	0 (0%)

"we often see cars flying through the village and tractors/lorries doing well over 40-50mph putting pedestrians, dogs, cats, children and wildlife at risk. problem with large speeding tractors with drivers on mobile phones."



	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	n/a							
I am aware of the current development proposals affecting the parish	9 (7%)	4 (3%)	4 (3%)	48 (40%)	56 (46%)	0 (0%)	Any housing development should include a primary residence occupancy condition (would restrict second homes)	2 (2%)	2 (2%)	14 (12%)	32 (27%)	70 (58%)	0 (0%)
I have sufficient information about current development proposals]	8 (7%)	25 (20%)	15 (12%)	50 (41%)	24 (20%)	0 (0%)	Any housing development would need to provide extra amenities to the village (e.g. shop, doctors surgery etc)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	12 (10%)	28 (24%)	78 (66%)	0 (0%)
I have felt confident to respond to current development proposals	8 (7%)	12 (11%)	22 (20%)	47 (42%)	23 (21%)	0 (0%)	Any housing development would need to be dependent on upgrades to infrastructure (e.g. roads, drainage, broadband etc)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	3 (3%)	16 (13%)	99 (83%)	0 (0%)
I would be happy to see further housing development in the parish	47 (41%)	27 (23%)	21 (18%)	20 (17%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	I would like to see a 'planning group' formed to represent the parish	3 (3%)	3 (3%)	14 (12%)	46 (40%)	50 (43%)	0 (0%)
The parish has had enough housing development in recent years	2 (2%)	11 (9%)	28 (24%)	25 (21%)	52 (44%)	0 (0%)							
Any housing development should include affordable housing	5 (4%)	4 (3%)	13 (11%)	38 (32%)	58 (49%)	0 (0%)							
Any housing development should include accessible housing	5 (4%)	0 (0%)	20 (17%)	42 (37%)	48 (42%)	0 (0%)							
Any housing development should include a Local Needs Occupancy Condition (prioritised for local people)	3 (3%)	1 (1%)	11 (21%)	39 (33%)	63 (54%)	0 (0%)							

"I feel a planning group would be a good idea, ONLY if there was fair representation of those who aren't opposed to local development, and those who are. As well as those that are indifferent."

	Yes	No	Not sure	Happy for some development
Are you in favour of development at site 305?	0 (0%)	89 (75%)	4 (3%)	26 (22%)
Are you in favour of development at site 301 A, B and C?	2 (2%)	73 (63%)	6 (5%)	35 (30%)

Site 305:

Obscures protected view;
Development should not affect the sports club/field
Land subject to flooding
Access concerns

Sites 301 (A, B & C):

Problems of access on/off B1257 and increased traffic
Pressure on village amenities
AoNB
Would divide/split the village

	Yes	No	Not sure
Are you aware of the Village Design Statement Planning Document adopted by Ryedale Council in 2016?	62 (53%)	38 (33%)	16 (14%)

	Yes	No	Not sure
Is there a community spirit in the parish?	100 (82%)	5 (4%)	17 (14%)

	Yes	No/Not sure	I know who some of them are
Do you know who your parish councillors are?	46 (38%)	22 (18%)	53 (44%)

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Do you attend parish council meetings?	47 (39%)	27 (22%)	30 (25%)	11 (9%)	6 (5%)

➡ 64% yes in 2009

➡ Lack of time or family/other commitments; unaware of the location, date or timings of meetings

To conclude....

My favourite comment in the whole survey: "The problem with Air Traffic is one guy doing acrobatics whenever it's a nice day, for hours and hours. Would happily contribute to a village Ground-to-Air missile. Maybe it could go next to the defibrillator."

Full parish survey results being examined by the Parish Council!

Thank you for completing the survey!